

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

2009 NOV 25 AH 10: 38

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

November 24, 2009

45 North Hill Drive

Suite 100

Warrenton, VA 20186

p/540-341-8808

f/540-341-8809

Thomasenia Duncan, Esq. General Counsel's Office Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

Re: Response of BALLANY in MUR 6218

Dear Ms. Duncan.

This Response is submitted by the undersigned counsel of behalf of Ball4NY and Mr. Greg Ball, in response to the Complaint designated as Matter Under Review 6218.

The Complaint in this matter was filed by Gary Levine, the Dutchess County Division chairman of the New York Democratic Lawyers Council solely for political and public relations purposes. Mr. Levine's Complaint was executed on October 1, 2009. On October 2, the very next day, news reports of the Complaint appeared, some quoting Mr. Levine directly, and the Complaint was made publicly available. See Response Exhibits 2 and 3. The FEC's notification letter to Mr. Ball and his committee, Ball4NY, was date-stamped approximately three weeks later, on October 21, 2009.

Mr. Levine's baseless, politically-motivated Complaint contains four multi-part allegations that are addressed, rebutted, and/or fully explained below. The Complaint, at Paragraph 7, also contains the inflammatory conclusion that "Ball, his campaign and their agents have engaged in a blatant pattern of raising illegal soft money." This statement, presumably included for media consumption, is categorically and demonstrably false, and made without any factual support.

As of November 21, 2009, Mr. Ball ended his Federal candidacy in order to run for the New York State Senate in 2010 and therefore is no longer seeking election to the U.S. House of Representatives. It is Mr. Ball's sincere hope that this matter can be disposed of expeditiously so that he may terminate Ball4NY as soon as possible.

I. Allegation: "Soliciting Illegal Corporate Contributions for a Fundraising Auction"

Mr. Levin's Complaint, at Paragraphs 8-11, alleges:

- 8. On or about June 5, 2009, Ball's so-called "Congressional Exploratory Committee" held a Golf Outing at Hudson Hills Golf Course and Murphy's Restaurant. See Exhibit A.
- 9. The outing included a "silent auction." See Exhibit A.
- 10. On or about May 1, 2009, Jacqueline Ambrosino, a Ball representative, sent an email to a distribution list soliciting donations for the silent auctions. See Exhibit A. "We are looking for tickets to sporting events, televisions, gift certificates to restaurants and services (such as legal and accounting services), foursomes for other golf courses, trips, plane tickets, spa gift certificates, televisions, just to name a few..." Exhibit A.
- 11. The May 1, 2009, email expressly asked for corporate contributions: "You can reach out to your network and try to get donations from both businesses and people." Exhibit A (emphasis supplied). "When working with a business, you can emphasize the foot traffic that will be generated by having their name featured at our event and in a brochure to be handed out to everyone that attends." Exhibit A (emphasis supplied).

The attached Exhibit A appears to be an email or letter from Jacqui Ambrosino, although Mr. Levin's attachment omits the usual email header information. The Complaint indicates the email was distributed on May 1, 2009. Attached to this Response is a full copy of the email in question, including header information. See Response Exhibit 4. Ms. Ambrosino's email was in fact sent on May 1, 2009, and it was sent to the Ball committee's general email distribution list of individual supporters and donors.

Paragraph 8 of the Complaint states that the Golf Outing was held by Mr. Ball's exploratory committee. This is incorrect. The text of the email that appears in Exhibit A of the Complaint nowhere states that the event was to be hosted by Mr. Ball's exploratory committee.

The signature block of Ms. Ambrosino's email indicates that she was acting on behalf of "Ball4NY Congressional Exploratory Committee 2010." At the time Ms. Ambrosino sent the email in question, Mr. Ball had not yet filed his FEC Form 2 Statement of Candidacy. Thus, Ms. Ambrosino's signature block was correct as of the date it was sent. Mr. Ball filed both FEC Form 2 Statement of Candidacy and FEC Form 1 Statement of Organization on May 6, 2009. See Response Exhibits 5 and 6. Ms. Ambrosino's email was distributed prior to the date on which Mr. Ball announced his candidacy and filed Forms 1 and 2 with the FEC.

The golf outing was hosted and paid for in full by Ball4NY. See Affidavit of Maria DiSalvo at ¶72-3 and Response Exhibits 1-A and 1-B.

¹ Ms. Ambrosino's signature block changed to "Greg Ball's Congressional Campaign 19th district 2010" at some point in early May 2009.

The Complaint appears to allege that Paragraphs 10 and 11 detail one or more violations of 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a, 441b and 441i(e). As a preliminary matter, the Complaint does not allege that any prohibited source in fact donated any item to the silent auction, or that any prohibited source in fact purchased any item at the silent auction. Accordingly, Paragraphs 10 and 11 cannot support the Complaint's unspecified allegation that either 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a or 441b were violated.²

To the best knowledge of the Treasurer of Ball4NY, no prohibited source contributed any item to the silent auction, and no prohibited source purchased any item at the silent auction. See Affidavit of Maria DiSalvo at ¶ 6-8 and Exhibit 1-C. The spreadsheet attached as Exhibit 1-C lists all items contributed to the silent auction, the contributor, and the value of the in-kind contribution, along with a reference to the Schedule A and B entries for each itemized in-kind contribution transaction. In addition, spreadsheet lists the purchaser of each item, along with the amount paid, and the Schedule A entry for each contribution. This spreadsheet provides — to the best of Ms. DiSalvo's knowledge — a full accounting of all contributions received in connection with the Ball4NY silent auction. (In the course of preparing this Response, a small number of reporting errors were discovered. Most of these errors involve not itemizing the multiple contributions of repeat donors whose aggregate contributions to Ball4NY exceeded \$200. Ball4NY's treasurer, Maria DiSalvo, is in the process of preparing and filing appropriately amended reports.)

The Complaint's allegation that "The May 1, 2009, email expressly asked for corporate contributions" is simply incorrect. The email referenced in the Complaint as Exhibit A mentions donations from "businesses." Under the Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended, several forms of "businesses" are not classified as prohibited sources and may in fact make contributions to federal campaign committees. Partnerships, certain LLCs, and sole proprietorships may all contribute federal funds to federal political committees. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(e) (partnership contributions), 110.1(g) (LLC contributions), Advisory Opinion 1981-03 (Robinson) ("Thus, a permissible contribution by a person or business which is not incorporated, such as a partnership or sole proprietorship, is subject to the limits of 441a.") (emphasis added). The Complaint conflates "businesses" with "corporations," and provides no evidence whatsoever that any corporation, or any other prohibited source, played any role whatsoever in the silent auction. Either the complainant, an attorney, doesn't understand the difference between "business" and "corporation" or he purposely blurred the distinction for political advantage.

In fact, the FEC itself has used the term "business" in exactly the same way as Ms. Ambrosino did in her email. For example, in Advisory Opinion 1981-03, the Commission explained:

To summarize, payment of the costs of <u>The Spokesman</u> can be allocated between State and local elections and Federal elections. The amount allocable to Federal elections must be paid for from sources permissible under the Act which are contained in the account of the Federal campaign committee. Corporate funds could not be used to defray the costs

² Alternatively, a complaint that "contains no information that contributions . . . came from a prohibited source . . . is merely speculative and does not provide a sufficient threshold to support reason to believe findings." MUR 6171/6172 (Cooney for Congress Committee), Factual and Legal Analysis at 2.

of the Federal portion. "Business" (e.g., partnerships or sole proprietorships) funds however, may be used to defray the costs of the Federal portion if they are not given by a prohibited source, such as a corporation or labor organization, and do not exceed limits in 2 U.S.C. § 441a.

Footnotes omitted and emphasis added. See Response Exhibit 11.

While Ms. Ambrosino's email contained absolutely no improper solicitations of funds, Respondent also notes that Ms. Ambrosino was a volunteer for Ball4NY. She was not authorized by the candidate or the candidate's campaign to send the email in question. The email was sent from her personal email account , not a "ball4ny.com" email account, and was not reviewed, approved, or authorized before it was distributed by Ms. Ambrosino, at her own expense. The Ball4NY campaign did not subsequently send a "correction email" because it determined that there was nothing improper in Ms. Ambrosino's email. For reasons entirely unrelated to the aforementioned email, Ms. Ambrosino's volunteer work with the Ball4NY campaign ended in late June or early July 2009.

Respondent is well-aware of the source restrictions contained in the FECA. The "Contribution Form" and "In Kind Contribution Form" used by Ball4NY are far more detailed than those of many campaigns. See Response Exhibits 7 and 8. Simply stated, these are not the forms of a campaign that "has repeatedly violated several core provisions of the federal campaign finance law," as the Complaint characterizes at page 1. Rather, these donor reply forms amply demonstrate that Respondent is very well-informed with respect to the law's restrictions and requirements regarding contributions, takes seriously those restrictions and requirements, and published them to potential donors as an integral part of the fund raising process.

II. Allegation: "Accepting Corporate Sponsorships and Seeking Excessive Contributions for an Outdoor Fundraising Event"

Paragraphs 12 - 14 make various allegations regarding Ball4NY's "Rockin' Rib Fest & Battle of the Bands:

- 12. On or about July 25, 2009, Ball's campaign committee sponsored a "Rockin' Rib Fest & Battle of the Bands." Exhibit B.
- 13. The July 25, 2009, event was "sponsored" by the New York State Rifle & Pistol Association and the National Rifle Association. Exhibit B. On information and belief, both entities are corporations.
- 14. The Ball campaign sought additional "sponsorships" in connection with the July 25, 2009, event, including a "VIP Congressional Tent Sponsorship" priced at \$2,900 \$500 in excess of the federal contribution limit. Exhibit B. Other Ball event solicitations have shown similar indifference to the \$2,400 limit. See, e.g., http://www.ballforcongress.com/eventDetail.aspx?eventID=2650 (Exhibit C) (asking for \$2,500 and \$4,800 contributions, without regard to the per-election limit).

Ball4NY hosted an event known as the "Rockin' Rib Fest & Battle of the Bands" on July 25, 2009. The remainder of the allegations set forth above are incorrect.

Paragraphs 12-14 refer to "Exhibit B," which is a reproduction of an event flyer, along with a portion of an informational fundraising piece.

Attached to this Response is the final version of a pamphlet produced to publicize the event. See Response Exhibit 9. The disclaimer on this pamphlet indicates that it was paid for by Greg Ball Congressional Exploratory Committee. As noted above, Mr. Ball filed FEC Form 2 Statement of Candidacy and FEC Form 1 Statement of Organization on May 6, 2009, and transitioned from an exploratory committee to an authorized campaign committee. Accordingly, the pamphlet's disclaimer should have indicated that it was paid for by Ball4NY. In proofreading the pamphlet, Committee volunteers simply overlooked this detail. This error was inadvertent and entirely harmless. No one reading the pamphlet would be confused as to its source. In numerous instances, the FEC has dismissed cases involving incomplete or inaccurate disclaimers. See, e.g., MUR 5632 (Iosco County Republican Party), MUR 5556 (Porter for Congress), MUR 5834 (Darcy Burner for Congress).

Ball4NY anticipated receiving support from the political action committees of both the New York Rifle & Pistol Association and the National Rifle Association. Both organizations have supported Mr. Ball in the past as a New York State Assemblyman. However, neither contribution was made³, and the event's publicity was released before any revisions could be made. The "Rockin' Rib Fest & Battle of the Bands" was not "sponsored" by either entity, or by either entity's political action committee. See Affidavit of Maria DiSalvo at ¶ 9-10. Neither entity, and neither entity's political action committee, has contributed any funds to Ball4NY. Thus, the pamphlet simply contains an incorrect "sponsorship" statement, which in and of itself is not a violation of any provision of the FECA or FEC regulations.

A similar case of misidentification arose in a recent enforcement matter. In MUR 5859 (Lois Murphy For Congress Committee), the Murphy campaign issued a press release stating "ACORN Endorses Lois Murphy." The press release also indicated that ACORN sponsored a subsequent rally and canvassing effort. As the Factual and Legal Analysis states, "Respondents assert, and the available information suggests, that the Murphy Campaign incorrectly identified ACORN in its press release as the entity that endorsed Candidate Murphy, when it was actually a related state political committee registered in Pennsylvania — Pennsylvania ACORN ('PA-APAC') — that made the endorsement and sponsored the subsequent rally and canvassing." MUR 5859, F&LA at 2. Based on these facts, the FEC found no reason to believe that ACORN or the Lois Murphy For Congress Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b. Id. at 4. MUR 5859 makes clear that misstating an endorsement or sponsorship on a campaign release is not a violation of FECA. See Response Exhibit 12.

³ Mr. Ball met with officials from the National Rifle Association regarding a contribution from the organization's political action committee on May 1 and May 29, 2009. Mr. Ball mistakenly believed the New York Rifle and Pistol Association maintained a federal political action committee. The organization, however, does not.

⁴ See also MUR 5883 (Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence), in which the FEC dismissed a complaint alleging illegal coordination between a candidate and a corporation when, upon further review, it became clear that any

In Paragraph 14, the Complaint alleges that "The Ball campaign sought additional 'sponsorships' in connection with the July 25, 2009, event, including a "VIP Congressional Tent Sponsorship" priced at \$2,900 - \$500 in excess of the federal contribution limit. Exhibit B. Other Ball event solicitations have shown similar indifference to the \$2,400 limit." With respect to the latter allegation, the Complaint refers to Exhibit C.

Ball4NY did not seek any corporate or otherwise impermissible "sponsorships" in connection with any of its campaign events. Respondent notes that "sponsorship" is not a cognizable violation of the FECA or FEC regulations. To the extent that the Complainant's use of the term "sponsorship" actually was intended to mean "endorsement" or "contribution," Ball4NY did not solicit or seek any impermissible endorsements, and did not solicit or accept any impermissible contributions. In fact, nearly two months before the "Rockin' Rib Fest & Battle of the Bands," on June 2, 2009, Ball4NY personnel discussed (internally) FEC Advisory Opinion 2007-10 (Reyes) regarding corporate signage at Congressman Reyes' proposed golf event. See Response Exhibit 10.5 The correspondents concluded that corporate signage and event sponsorship would be impermissible. In addition, the Ball4NY pamphlet specifically, and very clearly, states that "Contributions by corporations, foreign nationals (non green-card holders), labor unions and federal government contractors are prohibited." Unlike the complainant, who once again misuses a term to support an attention grabbing public allegation, the staff of Ball4NY researched the law in order to ensure compliance. See, e.g., Response Exhibit 10.

The Complaint also alleges that Ball4NY solicited contributions in excess of the "\$2,400 limit." As the complainant presumably knows, it is not illegal to solicit contributions in excess of \$2,400. Rather, it is only illegal to solicit contributions in excess of \$2,400 per person per election. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(1)(A). Ball4NY did not receive any contributions greater than \$2,400 per person, per election or otherwise, in connection with the "Rockin' Rib Fest & Battle of the Bands" event, and therefore had no need for contributors to designate any amounts over \$2,400 to the general election. See Affidavit of Maria DiSalvo at ¶ 11. As demonstrated in Response Exhibit 5, however, any such contributor would have been asked to complete and sign a "Contribution Form" containing the following language: "I designate may contribution(s), as indicated above, which are composed of my personal funds, to Ball4NY as follows: the first \$2,400 for the 2010 primary election and any additional amount that I contribute up to \$2,400 for the 2010 general election." Thus, any contribution in excess of \$2,400 would have been properly designated.

Paragraph 14 also alleges that "[o]ther Ball event solicitations have shown similar indifference to the \$2,400 limit." The Complaint's Exhibit C consists of publicity for a September 30, 2009, event featuring Ari Fleischer. Both pages of Exhibit C include contribution options of \$2,500 and \$4,800. Beside each of these options is the language "(call for details)." These two amount

coordination that may have occurred took place between the candidate and the corporation's separate segregated fund.

³ Carla Marin is an attorney, and was serving in that capacity as a volunteer for Ball4NY. In attaching Exhibit 9 to this Response, Ball4NY and Gregory Ball waive attorney-client privilege solely with respect to the one email from Ms. Marin contained therein. However, Respondent hereby requests that the FEC redact in its entirety or exclude this Exhibit 9 from the documents placed on the public record upon the closing of this matter.

options were intended to allow donors to contribute to both the primary and general election campaigns of Ball4NY. Any donor who wished to do so would have been advised of the per person, per election contribution limits and the need to designate any portion of their contribution in excess of \$2,400 to the general election when he or she "call[ed] for details." In addition, Ball4NY's standard "Contribution Form" contained this information as well. Ultimately, notification to contributors of these election designation requirements was unnecessary as no person contributed more than \$2,400 in connection with this particular event. See Affidavit of Maria DiSalvo at ¶ 12. Accordingly, the allegations in the complaint regarding Exhibit C, to the extent a violation is even alleged, are entirely baseless and without merit.

III. Allegation: "Accepting Illegal Soft Money Transfers from Ball's Assembly Committee"

Paragraph 17, alleges that: "Ball's federal campaign has made and is making repeated use of photos, videos and other assets from his nonfederal campaign and/or his official New York Assembly office. See, e.g., http://www.ballforcongress.com/multimedia.aspx; (Exhibit D). Ball's filings to date with the Federal Election Commission show no payment to his Assembly campaign, nor to the State of New York, for the use of these photos."

The website page cited above in the Complaint contains 32 thumbnail screen captures which link to video footage. All 32 videos are freely available for any user to view on YouTube, as is plainly evident when a user "clicks" on any of the thumbnail links. None of these 32 videos were ever an "asset" of Mr. Ball's nonfederal campaign committee or his New York Assembly office. The use of publicly available information by a nonfederal campaign, followed by the use of that same material by a federal campaign, is not a prohibited transfer of funds or assets as set forth in 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d). With respect to all video footage, the Complaint's allegations are frivolous.

The Complaint does not specify which "photos" were allegedly transferred from Mr. Ball's "nonfederal campaign and/or his official New York Assembly office." However, when a visitor clicks on the "photos" tab on the Ball4NY website, he or she is taken away from the Ball4NY website to a Picasa Web Album page titled "Greg Ball's Public Gallery." See http://picasaweb.google.com/AssemblymanBall. Picasa is a photo hosting service that allows users to create photo albums that may be viewed by the public. No cost is involved for either the photo uploader/hoster or the viewer. The photos that appear in the Picasa Web Album are the personal property of Greg Ball or Ball4NY. With respect to these photographs, the Complaint's allegations are frivolous.

On October 6, 2009, Ball4NY paid a licensing fee to the New York Assembly for the rights to use certain photographs owned by the Assembly or its photographer. See Affidavit of Maria DiSalvo at ¶ 13 and Exhibits 1-D and 1-E. All photos appearing on the Ball4NY website during the time of Mr. Ball's candidacy were either the personal property of Greg Ball, the Ball4NY Committee, or were licensed from the New York Assembly.

Mr. Ball is unable to respond with specificity to the Complaint's allegation that "other assets" were improperly transferred to his Ball4NY, as the Complainant does not explain which "other assets" he has in mind. This allegation, unsupported with any facts or specificity, should be dismissed outright as "mere speculation." See MUR 4960 (Hillary Rodham Clinton For U.S. Senate Exploratory Committee, Inc.), Statement of Reasons of Commissioners David M. Mason, Karl J. Sandstrom, Bradley A. Smith and Scott E. Thomas ("mere speculation . . . will not be accepted as true"). See also MUR 6077 (Coleman For Senate), Factual and Legal Analysis at 7 ("unwarranted legal conclusions from asserted facts, or mere speculation, will not be accepted as true"). Nevertheless, Respondent did not improperly transfer any "other assets."

IV. Allegation: "Voicing Illegal Robocalls"

Paragraphs 20 of the complaint alleges that "On or about June 29, 2009, an automated call featuring Ball was distributed to voters in the 19th Congressional District. The call contained no statement indicating who had paid for the call, or whether Ball had authorized it." The Complaint does not include a recording of the automated call in question, a transcript of the call, or any assertion that the Complainant or anyone the Complainant knows actually received the call in question. Rather, it contains only a bare assertion unaccompanied by any actual evidence. In this regard, the Complaint fails to adequately allege a violation upon which a reason to believe finding may be made. The Commission has previously stated that "[u]nless based on a complainant's personal knowledge, a source of information reasonably giving rise to a belief in the truth of the allegations must be identified." MUR 5141 (Moran), Statement of Reasons of David M. Mason, Karl J. Sandstrom, Danny L. McDonald, Bradley A. Smith, Scott E. Thomas, and Darryl R. Wold. See also MUR 4960 (Hillary Rodham Clinton For U.S. Senate Exploratory Committee, Inc.), Statement of Reasons of Commissioners David M. Mason, Karl J. Sandstrom, Bradley A. Smith and Scott E. Thomas. And as three Commissioners more recently noted, "The RTB (reason to believe) standard does not permit a complainant to present mere allegations that the Act has been violated and request that the Commission undertake an investigation to determine whether there are facts to support the charges." MUR 6056 (Protect Colorado Jobs, Inc.), Statement of Matthew S. Petersen, Caroline C. Hunter, and Donald F. McGahn at 6, n.12.

While the Complaint does not provide any information describing the content of the telephone call so that it can be conclusively identified, the Respondent believes the call in question concerned a July 6 Tea Party event. A recording of the call that was distributed to the public is included with this Response. See Response Exhibit 13. A transcript of the recorded call appears below:

Hello, this is State Assemblyman Greg Ball.
On July 6 at 7 pm join the Tea Party Patriots at Dutchess Stadium for the Hudson Valley's second Tea Party.

⁴ At Paragraph 20, the Complaint refers to Exhibit F. There does not appear to be any Exhibit F, however.

We can't afford to lose more jobs and we must unite as taxpayers and voters to fight these ongoing tax increases, the out of control spending and the bailouts and corporate welfare.

For more information, go to FishkillTeaParty.com, that's FishkillTeaParty.com. Albany is a disaster, and in Washington, our own Congressman was one of the deciding votes for cap and trade, a national energy tax that will cost your family \$1600. Have you had enough?

King George wanted 10%; we revolted.

Now's the time.

Our government is asking for nearly 50% and families are struggling just to get by. Join me, the Tea Party Patriots on July 6 at 7 pm at Dutchess Stadium to say "Enough is enough."

Come early and if you can be kind to the environment by carpooling.

Let's fight and let's do it together.

This call was paid for by Ball4NY.

As demonstrated by both the recording of the recorded call, and the transcript above, the calls closed with this language: "This call was paid for by Ball4NY." Contrary to the assertions set forth in the Complaint, the telephone call contained a disclaimer.

In reviewing various Ball4NY materials, we determined that this recorded call had been paid for by Brian Callaghan for the purpose of promoting the Fishkill Tea Party event mentioned in the recording. Costs paid by Brian Callaghan were treated as an in-kind contribution in the amount of \$526.84 from Brian Callaghan to Ball4NY. Mr. Callaghan used a personal credit card to make payment to a vendor called Voice Broadcasting, located in Arlington, Texas. Mr. Ball has a long relationship with Voice Broadcasting, and suggested that Mr. Callaghan use Voice Broadcasting to produce and distribute the recorded call detailed above. Respondent believes that Voice Broadcasting included the disclaimer "Paid for by Ball4NY" because of Mr. Ball's participation in the recording, and that this disclaimer was included on the robocalls as a result of an incorrect vendor assumption. Simply put, the telephone call in question included the disclaimer the complaint alleged was missing, which once again calls into question the true motivation of the Complainant. Given the nature of the communication, it was not possible to "correct" the disclaimer once the calls were made. In past enforcement matters, the FEC has routinely dismissed complaints involving incomplete and/or inaccurate disclaimers, especially where the amount at issue is minimal. See, e.g., MUR 5865 (New Trier Democratic Organization) (dismissing with admonishment for deficient disclaimer), MUR 5819 (U.S. Chamber of Commerce) (close file with admonishment for deficient disclaimer), MUR 5632 (losco County Republican Party) (dismissing allegations of deficient disclaimer), MUR 5580 (Alaska Democratic Party) (no reason to believe finding in case involving missing disclaimer due to inadvertent vendor error), MUR 5556 (Porter for Congress) (closing file in case involving omitted disclaimer due to minimal costs involved).

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions or if you require any additional information.

Sincerely,

Laurence Levy

Michael Bayes

Index of Attachments

Exhibit 1: Affidavit of Maria DiSalvo

Exhibit 1-A: Invoice (Golf Outing)
Exhibit 1-B: Check (Golf Outing)

Exhibit 1-C: Spreadsheet (Silent Auction)

Exhibit 1-D: Invoice (Photographs)
Exhibit 1-E: Check (Photographs)

Exhibit 2: News article (AllBusiness.com, Campaign finance law violations complaint filed against Ball)

Exhibit 3: News article (Poughkeepsie Journal, Democrats file FEC complaint against Ball)

Exhibit 4: Email from Jacquelyn Ambrosino

Exhibit 5: Statement of Candidacy of Gregory R. Ball

Exhibit 6: Statement of Organization of Ball4NY

Exhibit 7: Ball4NY Contribution Form

Exhibit 8: Ball4NY In-Kind Contribution Form

Exhibit 9: "Rockin' Rib Fest & Battle of the Bands" Pamphlet

Exhibit 10: Correspondence of Carla Marin (June 2, 2009)

Exhibit 11: Advisory Opinion 1981-03 (Robinson)

Exhibit 12: MUR 5859 (Lois Murphy For Congress Committee), Factual and Legal Analysis

Exhibit 13: CD-ROM (recording of robocall)

A Print Page

AllBusiness



Campaign finance law violations complaint filed against Ball

Allegations of federal campaign finance law violations have been leveled against Assemblyman Greg Ball, R-Carmel, and his campaign organization, Ball4NY.

Gary Levine, the Dutchess County Division chairmen of the New York Democratic Lawyers Council, said the complaints were sent Friday to the Federal Election Commission.

Ball is challenging U.S. Rep. John Hall, D-Dover, for the 19th Congressional District seat in 2010.

"Assemblyman Greg Ball has shown a disturbing disregard for the law in his campaign for Congress," Levine said. "From soliciting and accepting illegal corporate contributions to exceeding lawful campaign donation limits, from improper use of texpayer resources for his campaign activities to voicing legally insufficient robo-calls, there is a troubling pattern."

Matt Mackowiak, the general consultant for Ball4NY, called the complaint baseless.

"This is purely political. There have been no complaints or requests for information made by the Federal Election Commission. If we do hear from the FEC, we have full faith this partisan complaint will be diaminsed." Mackowisk said in an e-mail.

Levine said Ball left the council no choice but to file a complaint with the Federal Election Commission and request sanctions.

"We ask the FEC to make sure he does not continue to violate these important laws," he said.

The three-count complaint alleges Ball solicited and received "soft money," unregulated contributions made to political parties; transferred assets from his nonfederal, or Assembly, campaign to his congressional campaign, and distributed ads without disclosing who paid for or authorized them.

Supporting documents allege Ball's congressional exploratory committee held a May 1 golf outing that included a silent auction, for which Ball representative Jacqueline Ambrosino asked for donations, such as tickets to sporting events, gift certificates, plane tickets and TVs. The request was for donations from businesses and people.

The complaint alleged another event on July 25, sponsored by the New York State Rifle & Pistol Association and the National Rifle Association, offered a VIP congressional tent sponsorship for \$2,900, or \$500 above the federal limit.

Also alleged is Ball's use of "photos, videos and other assets from his nonfederal campaign and/or his official New York Assembly office" without compensating his Assembly campaign or the state.

Levine's complaint included an automated call by Ball to 19th Congressional District voters during which there was no indication of who paid for or authorized the call.

Reach Michael Woyton at mwoyton@poughkeepslejournal.com or 845-451-4518.

- © Copyright 2009 LexisNexis. All rights reserved.
- © Copyright 2009 Poughteepsie Journal (Poughteepsie, NY) All Rights Reserved

in whole or in part, without the written permission of the copyright holder.

Use of this alte is governed by our Terms of Use Agreement , and Privacy Policy .

Copyright © 1999-2009 AliBueiness.com, Inc. Ali Rights Reserved



October 2, 2009

Democrats file FEC complaint against Ball

The New York Democratic Lawyers Council has filed a complaint with the Federal Election Commission against Assemblyman Greg Ball and Ball4NY.

The complaint cites several repeated violations of federal campaign finance laws.

The complaint, filed by Gary Levine, Dutchess County Division chairman of the NYDLC, alleges specific examples of illegal solicitations, illegal use of tax payer funded New York State Assembly assets for his Congressional campaign, and improper automated phone calls.

"Assemblymen Greg Ball has shown a disturbing disregard for the law in his campaign for Congress. From soliciting and accepting illegal corporate contributions, to exceeding lawful campaign donation limits; from improper use of tax payer resources for his campaign activities, to voicing legally insufficient robo-calls, there is a troubling pattern," said Levine.

"As such, Greg Ball has left us no choice but to file a complaint before the Federal Election Commission and request sanctions and punishment for his actions. We ask the FEC to make sure he does not continue to violate these important laws," Levine concluded.

From: Jacquelyn Ambrosino
Date: Fri, May 1, 2009 at 10:10 AM

Subject: 4th Annual Golf Outing

To: list@bal Hny.com

Hello!

Greg Ball's 4th Annual Golf Outing will be taking place on June 5th, 2009 at Hudson Hills Golf Course and Murphy's Restaurant. You can view all the details at www.gballevents.com. For this big event we need help pulling in donated items for the silent auction that will be going on throughout the day.

To accomplish this task, I will be organizing a Silent Auction Committee that will meet a few times prior to the golf outing. Please let me know if you will be able to help by calling me at or e-mailing me at

We are looking for tickets to sporting events, televisions, gift certificates to restaurants and services (such as legal and accounting services), foursomes for other golf courses, trips, plane tickets, spa gift certificates, televisions, just to name just a few....

You can reach out to your network and try to get donations from both businesses and people. Many people have saved up points on their credit cards that can be redeemed for many items that we could auction off. When working with a business, you can emphasize the foot truffic that will be generated by having their name featured at our event and in a brochure to be handed out to everyone that attends.

Ensure you are responding to "list@bali4ny.com" in order to unsubscribe!

FEC FORM 2 STATEMENT OF CANDIDACY

RECEIVED FEC MAIL CENTER

		· 	n	LKAY
1. (a) Name of Condition (in his Co.)	\			
Scholy Ly	Check I address sha	head	1 Cinddoo's PEC 150	distin Number
, (c) City, State, and ZIP Code	el 3		2 6 760	Amended (A)
CHECON, MY 18	5 (5)	(L Sain L Sain	et of Candidate	OR () (A)
rep	HOVSE	NY-	19	
DE	SIGNATION OF PRINCIP	AL CAMPAIGN	COMMITTEE	
7. I hereby designate the following ner	med political committee as my Prim	ipal Carryalgo Como	7106 man	election(s).
NOTE: This designation about the i			(year of elec	ilion)
(a) Name of Committee (in full)				
BALL 4 N	<u> </u>			
(b) Address (number and sheet)				
PO BOX 1	35			
(a) City, Bisto, and 20 Code	מוט וז הי ה			
norish ot	NJ 19283			
DE	ESIGNATION OF OTHER	AUTHORIZED	COMMITTEES	
		relating Proprocentative		
I hereby authorize the following rain conditions.	neć committee, which is NOT my p	rind ist turipelg n corr	willes, to receive and ex	pend funds on behalf of my
NOTE: This designation should be	filed with the principal compalen co	mulitoe.		
(a) Name of Committee (in full)				
(b) Address (number and sirest)				
•				
(a) (3)y, Shalle, and ZIP Code	•			
فيهي السري الفائد المساعد في المساعد				
I cardly that I have an	mined the Statement and to the be	nt of My Impuladge &	nd ballel it is true, correct	and complete.
Signature of Considers			Date	1,100
			5	1109
NOTE: Submission of tales, swensore	s, or incomplete intermetion may out	bject the person signif	ng this Statement to pane	Hee of 2 U.S.C. \$457s.
]]		1
				

Federal Election Commission ENVELOPE REPLACEMENT PAGE FOR INCOMING DOCUMENTS The FEC added this page to the end of this filing to indicate how it was received.		
Hand Delivered	Date of Receipt	
USPS First Class Mail	Postmerked	
USPS Registered/Certified	Postmarked (R/C) .	
USPS Priority Mell	Postmarked	
Delivery Confirmation™ or Signature Confir	metion™ Label	
USPS Express Mail	Postmerked 5/6/67	
Postmark illegible	,	
No Postmerk		
Overnight Delivery Service (Specify):	Shipping Date	
-Next-Busined	ss-Day-Delivery	
Received from House Records & Registration Office	Date of Receipt	
Received from Senate Public Records Office	Date of Receipt	
Received from Electronic Filing Office	Date of Receipt	
Other (Specify):	Receipt or Postmerked	
a	5/1/9	
PREPARER (3/2005)	DATE PREPARED	

Federal Election Commission

999 ESt., NW

Washing tow DC 20463

From: Greg Bal

FEC FORM 1	STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION	FEC MAIL CENTER 2001 MAY -7 A II: 41
1. NAME OF COMMITTEE (in full)	(Check II name Example: If typing, is charged) over the lines.	(12FE4M5
BALLYNY		ليبيبيبي
	- R.O. B.O.X. 1.35	
ADDRESS (number and stre	LI I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
i (Check if address is changed)	P.A.T.T.E.R.S.ON	
:	CITY	STATE ZIP CODE
COMMITTIEES E-MAIL AD	DRESS (Please provide only one e-mail address)	
Fi Chart Saile	GBALLEBALLYHY. CO	Milliani
(Check it addre	<u> </u>	ليبيبيبيبيا
(Check I estire is charged)	MM. V. B. A. C. L. Y. N.Y C.O.A.	
a. Fec identificatio	N NUMBER Continue of the conti	
4. IS THIS STRITEMENT	NEW (PI) OR AMEND	ED (A)
I certify that I have assent	ned this Statement and to the best of my incodedge an	d build it is true, correct and complete.
Type or Print Name of The Signature of Tressurer	Maria Disolvo	- <u>Gel. [7], Roûl</u>
NOTE: Butantisation of fulfic,	ANY CHANGE IN ENFORMATION GHOULD BE REF	n algoing this Bioloment to the purallies of 2 U.S.C. \$497g. CHITED WITHIN 10 DAYS.
Office Use Only	Per farther for Perhant Shareh Tel Pine 1884 Land SEA-984	PEC FORM 1 Observation Observation Observation Observation (Provided GRADGO)

	TE N	PTIN 1 (Playland CERECOR)	Page 2
		COMMITTEE Committee:	
(a)	X	This committee is a principal compalgn committee. (Complete the conditate information below.)	
(10)		This committee is an authorized committee, and is NOT a principal campaign committee. (Complete t	he candidate
Nem		Information below)	
Cane	idato	LARGE CORY R. BALL	ليبين
Cant Party	idale Affiliai	ton (FE) Sought: House Genate President	tentes (NY)
(c)	eri Lej	This committee supports/opposes only one sandidate, and is NOT an authorized committee.	
Name	o of Adata		11111
Per	ly Cor	menities;	
(d)	!	This committee is a grant or subordinate) committee of the Reput	floors, etc.) Party.
Poli	ticel /	Nation Committee (PAC):	•
(=)	1	This committee is a departme segregated fund. (identify connected organization on line 6.) its connected to the connected organization on line 6.)	_
		area.	or Organization
		Membership Organization Li Trade Association Li Coo	perative
		in addition, this committee is a Lobbyist Registrent PAC.	
M	+-7 &-, j	This committee supportelepposes more than one Federal conditate, and is NOT a separate segrega committee. (i.e., nonconnected committee)	led fund or party
		in addition, this committee is a Labbylet/Registrant PAC.	
		in addition, this committee is a Leadership PAC. (Identify spansor on line 6.)	
Join	t Fund	draining Representative:	• ••
(a)	7 1	This committee collects contributions, pays fundraising expenses and disturbes not proceeds for two or a committee of a federal condition.	nore political
(h)	£.\$	This committee collects contributions, page fundraling expenses and disburses not proceeds for two or a	
	6.4	committees/organizations, more of which is an authorized committee of a federal carididate.	•
	Con	nmittees Participating in Joint Fundralser	Lighter governing to the consequence
	1.	PEC ED number C	g 10 - Talen Brasilian (1906) 10 - Talen Brasilian (1906)
	2	PEO D number C.	A.C. dissipation in the
	2.	PRO ID number C	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	4		die lander

FEC Form 1 (Revise	1 (000000) Page 3
Write or Type Committee No.	M9
RUCH NY	
E. Name of Any Connector	Organisation, Affiliated Committee, Joint Fundralating Representative, or Landership PAC Sponsor
шш	
Ш	
Melling Address	
·	
	CITY STATE ZP CODE
Relationship: [] Connec	ted Organization
200	
7. Custodian of Records: I	tentily by name, address (phone number — optional) and position of the parson in possession of committee
books and records.	
(A)	L. M. M.R.I. N.
Pull Name DAICLY	
Mailing Address	1.76.3. 16.0.7.E6
	CHEMEL WY LOSIA-LI
Title or Pasition	CITY STATE ZIP CODE
#5. APAC 1	-NIST DEPLATE THE PROPERTY OF
EKILIVIE IVISI	-WISIC DIDITION OF THE CONTROL OF TH
8. Tregourge; List the name	end address (phone number - options) of the tressurer of the committee; and the rame and address of
any designated agent (ed	, anglylant transver).
Put Name	N.A. DASACINA.
	245 'ear 311
Maling Address	
	PATTIERS ON SINE SPOSSE
Title or Position	
URIEI BSIVIEIE	B. L.

CITY

SUITE

ZIP CODE

FEC Form 1 (Nevleed 02/8909)

Federal Election Commission ENVELOPE REPLACEMENT PAGE FOR INCOMING DOCUMENTS The FEC added this page to the end of this filing to indicate how it was received.		
Hand Delivered	Date of Receipt	
USPS First Class Mail	Postmerked .	
USPS Registered/Certified	Postmerked (R/C)	
USPS Priority Mail	Postmarked	
Delivery Confirmation™ or Signatu	re Confirmation™ Label	
USPS Express Mail	Postmarked 5/6/09	
Postmerk lilegible		
No Postmark		
Overnight Delivery Service (Specify):	Shipping Date	
. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t-Business Day Belivery	
Received from House Records & Registration Of		
Received from Senate Public Records Office	Date of Receipt	
Received from Electronic Filling Office	Date of Receipt	
Other (Specify):	Date of Receipt or Postmarked	
Eu	5/9/0	
PREPARER (3/2005)	DATE PREPARED	

CONTRIBUTION FORM

BALLANA www.ball4ny.com POB 135, Patterson, NY 12563

Pari	lur by	Ralusy

An individual may contribute a maximum amount of \$2,400 per election (the primary and general are separate elections) to a federal candidate for a total of \$4,800. Federal political action committees PACs may contribute \$2,400 (8) per election cycle; Federal multicandidate political ecommittee may contribute \$5,000 per election. Contributions from corporations (which include LLCs that have corporate taxation status with the IRS and also LLCs which have publicly traded shares, and LLCs and Partnership which have federal government contracts or which are negotiating such contracts), labor organization treasury funds, foreign nationals, and federal government contractors are prohibited. Corporations and individuals are strictly prohibited from reimbursing another person for making a contribution to 10:04%.

Federal law requires political committees such as Holdan's to use their best efforts to obtain, maintain and report the name, mailing address, accupation and employer for each individual whose contributions aggregate in excess of \$200 per election cycle.

Please fill out the following below, required by Federal Law, for each individual contributor for each individual transaction. [If you are (a) retired, please enter N/A under Employer and Retired under Occupation: (b) if a homemaker, please enter N/A - Homemaker. (c) if self-employed, please enter "Self-Employed" under Employer and describe your line of work under Occupation.)

VOOL	is, City, State, Zip (and mailing addre	nes et diffinance	
Phone:	(H) (W)	(C)	. + mail
F.mple	yer	(krupation.	
CONT !	ي المعمليوس بالمحمل من مريد من الم	mmittee or joint checking contributions, p ble to $m + m + m$ and mail to PO Box addre	
2	Charge my contribution to my per- MasterCurd (circle one) for the am Name as it exactly appear Credit Card Number:	sonal, not corporate, American Express, Disorate of \$	spiration date of Card:
3. Partne	rshin:	above contribution is attributed to the following	owing members/partners of such 1.3.C or ed Contribution Amount:S
	Name:	Attribut	ed Contribution Amount:S
LLC's o	Name: or Partnerships with foreign nationals al, corporate partner or corporate me	Attribut s, corporate partners/members may not att mber. Contact Part4's Y for attribution rul	ed Contribution Amount:Sto such foreign
LLC's on nations	al, corporate partner or corporate me	Attributes, corporate partners/members may not attember. Contact half4's Y for stribution rule online with your credit card at: see shall.	
nation	al, corporate partner or corporate med You may also contribute securely	mber. Contact half4's Y for attribution rul	ke. Urv. Janu
nation	I), corporate partner or corporate med You may also contribute securely of In making a contribution to 14 and 2 a. I at least 18 years of age (non green-card holder) w	mber. Contact Parid's 5' for attribution rule online with your credit card at:	is the following as true and correct mational selections and some and correct mational selections are a foreign national selections and the registered foreign agent
nation	I), corporate partner or corporate med You may also contribute securely of In making a contribution to 15 mills a. I at least 18 years of age (non green-card holder) w b. This contribution is made	mber. Contact Parid's 5' for attribution rule online with your credit card at: See Sept. S. J. H. J. H. S. J. H. J. H. J. J. J. H. J.	te is the following as true and correct ment resident alien (I am not a foreign national ed States nor am I a registered foreign agent). ers:
nation	I), corporate partner or corporate med You may also contribute securely of In making a contribution to 15 mills a. I at least 18 years of age (non green-card holder) w b. This contribution is made c. Thus contribution is not m	mber. Contact Parid's 5' for attribution rule online with your credit card at: we deprive a large only a large of the contributor named above, affirm and 1 am a United States citizen or a permovho lacks permanent residence in the Unite from my own funds, and not those of other and from funds of a corporation, an 1.1.4."	is the following as true and correct mational ment resident alien [I am not a foreign national of States nor am I a registered foreign agent], ers; that has corporate filing status with the IRS, a
nation	I), corporate partner or corporate med You may also contribute securely of In making a contribution to is not? a. I at least 18 years of age (non green-card holder) wh. This contribution is made c. Thus contribution is not make habor organization, a natio d. If made by credit or debit	mber. Contact Parid's 's for attribution rule online with your credit card at: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	the following as true and correct same true and correct same tresident alien {
nation	It making a contribute securely of the making a contribute securely of the making a contribution to it with a. I at least 18 years of age (non green-card holder) who is the contribution is made c. This contribution is not make or organization, a nation of the contribution is not make by credit or debit legal obligation to pay, and c. If applicable, the LLC or	mber. Contact Parid's 's for attribution rule online with your credit card at: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	the following as true and correct same true and correct same tresident alien [I am not a foreign national of States nor am I a registered foreign agent], ers; that has corporate filing status with the IRS, a ctor;
nation	It corporate partner or corporate ments You may also contribute securely of the making a contribution to the securely of a last 18 years of age (non green-card holder) of the contribution is made of the contribution is not or labor organization, a nation of the contribution is not organization, a nation of the contribution to pay, are of the contribution to pay, are of the contribution to the contribution of the contributi	mber. Contact Parid's 's for attribution rule online with your credit card at: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	is the following as true and correct manners resident alien [] am not a foreign national ed States nor am 1 a registered foreign agent], ers; that has corporate filing status with the IRS, a ctor; and credit card or debit card for which I have the iness entity card or on the eard of another personners.

Ø M N 0044

IN KIND CONTRIBUTION FORM

RALLINA www.balHay.com POB 135, Patterson, NY 12563

Paid	for by	Halliny	
			_

An individual may contribute a maximum amount of \$2,400 per election (the primary and general are separate elections) to a federal candidate for a total of \$4,000. Federal political action committees PAC's may contribute \$2,400,00 per election eyele; Federal multi-candidate PAC or a Federal multicandidate political committee may contribute \$5,000 per election. Contributions from corporations (which include LLC's that have corporate taxation status with the IRS and also LLCs which have publicly traded shares, and LLCs and Partnership which have federal government contracts or which are negotiating such contracts), labor organizations, foreign nationals, and federal government contractors are prohibited. Corporations and individuals are strictly prohibited from reimbursing another person for making a contribution to

. . .

	requires political committees such as it nd employer for each individual whose		to obtain, maintain and report the name, mailing address, less of \$200 per election cycle		
Please fill out the following below, required by Federal Law, for each individual contributor for each individual transaction. [If you are (a) retired, please enter N/A under Employer and Retired under Occupation; (b) if a homemaker, please enter N/A - Homemaker; (c) if self-employed, please enter "Self-Employed" under Employer and describe your line of work under Occupation.)					
Namet index a	dual or Name of parmership, 1.1 C. PA	Corcommutee)			
Address, City	. State. Zip tand mailing address if dit	Terenı)			
Phone (H)	(W)	. «	l-mail		
Imployer		Occupation			
CONTRIBL	TION/DONATION (For PAC, 110	, parmership or political comm	rifee contributions, please call (845) 582-0548[
1 Serv	see donated (contributed (describe).	·			
Vak	ue of Service donated contributed. \$				
2. Item	n donated/contributed (describe or list)	·			
Vak	ue of nem donated contributed: \$				
i li ai	n LLC or Parinership, how the above e	ontribution is attributed to the f	following members partners of such 1.1.C or Partnership:		
	Name .	Auril	buted Contribution Amount S		
	Name: Increhips with foreign national or corporate member. Contact 19		buted Contribution Amount:\$ If attribute any contribution amount to such foreign national		
4. You	a may also contribute securely online w	ith your credit card at 🕟 🕛	34		
5. in m a. b. c d. e.	I at least 1% years of age and I an (non green-card holder) who lack Thus contribution is made from m This contribution is not made from labor organization, a national ban If made by credit or debit card, the legal obligation to pay, and is not	n a United States citizen or a pr a permanent residence in the U by own funds, and not those of m funds of a corporation, an Ll & or a Federal government con his contribution is made on a pe made either on a corporate or	.C that has corporate filing status with the IRS, a		

attributed to its members as indicated above. I designate my contribution(s), as indicated above, which are composed of my personal funds, to Ball4NY as follows: the first \$2.400 for the 2010 primary election and any additional amount that I contribute up to \$2,400 for the 2010 general election.

_, if applicable, Member/Partner Signature [required] Contributions or gifts to Ball4NY are not tax deductible for federal income tax purposes. Corporations and individuals are strictly prohibited from reimbursing another person for making a contribution to Hall451

Exhibit 9

Sign Up for the BBQ Competition! Today.

and Backyard BBQers Local Restaurants. **BBQ** Afficianados Are Welcome! Individuals.

You provide your own supplies and equips for 175-100 guests. Setup at 18300 am and stay until 5:00 pm EMENTS: Competitors must 800 enough rib pieces



Paid for by Greg Ball Congressional Exploratory Committee



can set and drint and professed sauting in the YM last. Sponsored by the MY State Mille & Pistol Association. Saturdoy, July 25th I Taxo - 7:00 yan 1 The Harth Bidgo Farm, Palitatona, 817 MASSES TO VEP CONCRESSIONAL TEXT: O \$75 (or press) oin your local and state elected officials with all you

is testing costes ciudes general admit Balar massi # O # Tall

ber of Tichet

Paderal Law requires that we collect the following information for all Congressional donors:

Please make checks payable to BALLARY They can be mailed with this form to:

P.O. BOX 135 Patterson, NY 12563 BALLANY

Or pay by crudit eard

☐ American Express ☐ Visa ☐ Mastercard

Security Cad

Expiration Date_



GREG BALLS ASSEMBLYMAN

EVENT CIAINS 4.

Located at 600 M

O TRIBORS O JEACTIFUL 180 ACRE HOUSE FARING O BBG COMPETITION O SPONSORED BY THE REA

の置し **第**0 0 0 0





FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

March 24, 1981

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REOUESTED

ADVISORY OPINION 1981-3

Ms. Ann M. Robinson c/o <u>The Spokesman</u> Box 1964, 115 N. Center Street Casper, Wyoming 82602

Dear Ms. Robinson:

This responds to your letter of January 8, 1981, as supplemented by letter of February 9, requesting an advisory opinion on behalf of <u>The Spokesman</u>, the official organ of the Democratic Party of the State of Wyoming, concerning application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and Commission regulations to the acceptance of corporate and business checks for advertising in <u>The Spokesman</u>.

According to your letters, <u>The Spokesman</u> is published quarterly with a special 5th edition in October during election years. In the past the costs of publication have been absorbed by the Democratic Party of Wyoming. The party will continue to absorb the costs if adequate advertising or donations cannot be obtained to cover the costs of publishing. To this point <u>The Spokesman</u> has operated under the policy that checks for advertising must be drawn on personal accounts and that <u>The Spokesman</u> could not accept business or corporate checks. Moreover, under that policy all funds for advertising are considered to be contributions. Currently, all checks for advertising space, whether made payable to <u>The Spokesman</u> or to the Party are deposited in the same party account.

From the copies of the two issues of <u>The Spokesman</u> which you provided to the Commission it appears that advertising usually takes block form and simply mentions the name of the business, proprietor, and business location. You explain that under the restrictive policy that allows only personal checks to be accepted for advertising, <u>The Spokesman</u> is not able to break even on the cost of publication. If business or corporate checks could be accepted you anticipate that sufficient advertising could be sold to defray most publication costs. Thus, you

Wyoming law prohibits corporate, labor organization, and business contributions. See the Wyoming Election code of 1973, as amended, §22-25-102.

ask if there is any way in which business or corporate checks could be accepted for advertising. You also ask if advertisers may claim the cost of advertising as a business expense.

At the outset it is necessary to set forth those prohibitions and limitations in the Act which are relevant to your request. Specifically, under 2 U.S.C. 441b it is unlawful for any, national bank or any corporation organized by authority of any law of Congress, to make a contribution or expenditure in connection with any election to Federal, State or local office. It is also unlawful for any corporation or labor organization to make any contribution or expenditure in connection with any Federal election. See also the prohibitions on contributions by Government contractors and foreign nationals. 2 U.S.C. 441c and 441e.

In the case of permissible sources of contributions and expenditures, the Act limits the amounts of these contributions. 2 U.S.C. 441a. Thus, a permissible contribution by a person or business which is not incorporated, such as a partnership or sole proprietorship, is subject to the limits of 441a. See also 11 CFR 110.1. Therefore, to the extent that publication of The Spokesman is "for the purpose of influencing" or is "in connection with" a Federal election and funds paid by corporations or labor organizations for advertising are used to defray the expenses of The Spokesman, those funds would be contributions or expenditures that are prohibited under the Act. All other funds from permissible sources would be subject to contribution limits.

The Commission has in the past addressed a similar situation involving the financing by advertising of a State Party newsletter. In Advisory Opinion 1978-46 (copy enclosed) the Commission concluded that the acceptance of corporate funds for advertisements placed in a monthly party newsletter constituted contributions under the Act. However, the opinion went on to state that, if proper under State law, proceeds from corporate ads could be accepted by the Party and used for State and local election purposes. Moreover, the expenses of preparing, publishing, and distributing the newsletter would be regarded as Federal election-related if communications carried in the newsletter would be regarded as for the purpose of influencing the election of any person to Federal office or in connection with a Federal election. Thus, the Commission concluded that if any material published in the newsletter related to Federal elections, expenses incurred for the newsletter would need to be paid, on an allocated basis, from the Federal campaign committee of the State Party.

As in Advisory Opinion 1978-46, the Commission here concludes that amounts paid for advertising in The Spokesman do constitute contributions. However, the costs of the Party publication can be allocated between those costs that are State and local election-related and those that are Federal election-related. Those that are determined to be allocable to Federal elections must be paid from the Federal campaign committee of the Wyoming Democratic Party which contains funds from only permissible sources under the Act. The amount allocable to the Federal campaign committee for payment and reporting may be determined by using the formula set forth in 106.1(e)² of Commission regulations or by an allocation based upon the column

¹¹ CFR 106,1(e) reads:

Party committees and other political committees which have established Federal campaign committees pursuant to 11 CFR 102.5 shall allocate administrative expenses on a reasonable basis between their Federal and non-Federal accounts in proportion to the amount of funds expended on Federal and non-Federal elections, or on another reasonable basis.

inches (or space) devoted to Federal candidates as a class, without express advocacy of specific Federal candidates.

To summarize, payment of the costs of <u>The Spokesman</u> can be allocated between State and local elections and Federal elections. The amount allocable to Federal elections must be paid for from sources permissible under the Act which are contained in the account of the Federal campaign committee.³ Corporate funds could not be used to defray the costs of the Federal portion. "Business" (e.g., partnerships or sole proprietorships) funds however, may be used to defray the cost of the Federal portion if they are not given by a prohibited source, such as a corporation or labor organization, and do not exceed limits in 2 U.S.C. 441a.

Since this opinion is based only upon the Act, it should not be interpreted as removing any prohibitions which may be imposed under the Wyoming statutes with regard to State and local elections. As for your second question, whether the payment for advertising may be claimed as a business expense, rather than being characterized as a political contribution, that issue is beyond the jurisdiction of the Commission. For your information, see 276 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning application of the Act, or regulations prescribed by the Commission, to the specific transaction or activity set forth in our request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f.

Sincerely yours,

(signed)

John Warren McGarry
Chairman for the
Federal Election Commission

Enclosure

Under 11 CFR 100.8(b)(16) a state committee of a political Party may under certain circumstances pay the costs of campaign materials such as party tabloids or newsletters if the portion of the cost of such materials allocable to Federal candidates is paid from contributions subject to the limitations and prohibitions of the Act.



NOV - 6 2007

James Lamb, Esq.
Harmon, Curran, Spielberg & Risenberg, LLP
1726 M Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036

RE: MUR 5859

Lois Murphy for Congress

Committee

and Katherine A. Rowe, in her official capacity as treasurer

Dear Mr. Lamb:

On October 30, 2006, the Federal Election Commission notified your clients, Lois Murphy for Congress Committee and Katherine A. Rowe, in her official capacity as treasurer, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). On October 10, 2007, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint, and information provided by your clients, that there is no reason to believe they violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b, a provision of the Act. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Camilla Jackson Jones, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely.

Mark D. Shonkwiler
Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

Respondents: Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN)

Lois Murphy for Congress Committee
and Katherine A. Rowe, as Tressurer

MUR 5859

I. INTRODUCTION

This matter was generated by a Complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by Jim Gerlach for Congress Committee and Mike DeHaven, in his official capacity as treasurer, against the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now ("ACORN"), a non-profit organization whose mission is to increase civic involvement and political participation in low and moderate-income and minority communities, and Lois Murphy for Congress Committee and Katherine A. Rowe, in her official capacity as treasurer. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1). The Complaint alleges that ACORN made coordinated expenditures that resulted in excessive and unreported in-kind contributions to Lois Murphy for Congress Committee and Katherine A. Rowe, in her official capacity as Treasurer (the "Murphy Campaign"), in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441b, a provision of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Specifically, the Complaint cites a April 29, 2006 press release from Lois Murphy's website entitled, "ACORN Endorses Lois Murphy," which describes a rally and postevent door-to-door canvassing by Ms. Murphy and rally participants to discuss with notential voters the issues of health care, minimum wage and education. Id. Complaint, Attachment 1. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission finds no reason to believe that the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now or Lois

Murphy for Congress Committee and Katherine A. Rowe, in her official capacity as Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b.

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

Respondents assert, and the available information suggests, that the Murphy Campaign incorrectly identified ACORN in its press release as the entity that endorsed Candidate Murphy, when it was actually a related state political committee registered in Pennsylvania — Pennsylvania ACORN ("PA-APAC") — that made the endorsement and sponsored the subsequent rally and canvassing. ACORN Response at 1; Murphy Response at 2. ACORN and Murphy contend that they did not violate the Act because (1) it was the political action committee, PA-APAC, and not ACORN that sponsored and made disbursements in connection with the event; (2) the attendees at the event were all PA-APAC volunteers; (3) the expenditures made by PA-APAC were within federal contribution limits; and (4) the Murphy Campaign's participation in the event was permissible under the Act. Id.

The Murphy Campaign submits the declaration of its Campaign Manager, Jill Harris, who states that in late March 2006 the Campaign received a letter from PA-APAC, not ACORN, endorsing Murphy's candidacy, that the Campaign worked with PA-APAC volunteers in preparation for the public announcement of the endorsement. The Murphy Campaign acknowledges that the April 26, 2006 press release mistakenly stated that ACORN endorsed Murphy, when it should have stated that PA-APAC endorsed Murphy. Murphy Response, Attachment 3, Declaration of Jill Harris ("Harris Decl.") at ¶1 2-4. Harris also confirms that Murphy attended the PA-APAC rally to

accept its endorsement and that Murphy never received an endorsement from the national ACORN. *Id.* at ¶ 5-6.

Respondents also contend that the costs associated with the event were minimal and well within federal guidelines. ACORN Response at 1; Murphy Response at 2. The Declaration of Ali Kronley, Head Organizer for ACORN in Pennsylvania, states that the estimated costs for the rally totaled \$1,045 — which is comprised of \$300 for materials, \$100 donation by PA-APAC to the Murphy Campaign, and \$645 in estimated labor costs for the PA-APAC employee who coordinated the rally. ACORN Response, Attachment 1, Declaration of Ali Kronley ("Kronley Decl.") at ¶¶ 4-7. Additionally, Kronley states that the funds in the PA-APAC account are made up of donations made by individual ACORN members, usually at a rate of approximately \$3-\$5 a month per member, and that in the past five years no individual has contributed more than \$120 per year. *Id.* at ¶ 3.

The Complaint's assertion that ACORN coordinated the rally and canvassing event with the Murphy Campaign appears to be incorrect. It was not ACORN, but an affiliated state political committee, PA-APAC, that endorsed Murphy at its rally and canvassing event. ACORN Response, Attachment 1, Murphy Response, Exhibit C. While it is true that ACORN, as a corporation, was prohibited from making in-kind contributions to the Murphy campaign in the form of labor and materials for the event, see 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a), PA-APAC, as a political action committee, was not so circumscribed and was permitted to make such disbursements, subject to the applicable contribution limits and disclosure requirements. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 109.21 and 114.4(C)(6). The \$945 expended by PA-APAC for the rally and canvassing event, in

Factual & Legal Analysis
MUR 5859

addition to its \$100 direct contribution to the Murphy Campaign, were within the \$2,000 contribution limit set forth in the Act. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(D).

Accordingly, based on the information in the Complaint, and the Responses submitted thereto, the Commission finds no reason to believe that the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now or Lois Murphy for Congress Committee and Katherine A. Rowe, in her official capacity as Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b.

¹ PA-APAC's contribution was disclosed by the Murphy Campaign in its Pre-Primary Report filed May 4, 2006.